Remarks

No claims have been amended

1. Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants request consideration of an Information Disclosure Statement being filed herewith that includes a copy of observations made by a third party concerning patentability of the claimed invention in the corresponding European Patent Application No. 04768997.1

2. Updated Table of Commonly Assigned Applications

The Examiner's attention is called to the following updated table of U.S. patents and pending applications of Applicants' assignee that may be considered as technically related. The current status of each application as reported in the PAIR database is given in the right-hand column. Each of the published US applications and PCT applications is listed on a form PTO-1449 attached to an Information Disclosure Statement being submitted herewith or has been listed on a form PTO-1449 attached to a previously filed Information Disclosure Statement.

It is assumed that the Examiner has ready electronic access to each of the listed U.S. applications, but the undersigned will provide a copy of any document from these files if requested by the Examiner.

U.S. Serial No. Filing Date	Inventor	U.S. Patent No. Issue Date	PCT Pub. No. PCT Pub.Date	Current Status
09/913,539	Nigel P.	6,844,437	WO 00/49014	Granted
December 7, 2001	Taylor et al.	January 18, 2005	August 24, 2000	
10/610,700	Nigel P.	6,784,171	WO 00/49014	Granted
July 2, 2003	Taylor et al.	August 31, 2004	August 24, 2000	
10/885,244	Nigel P.		WO 00/49014	Abandoned
July 7, 2004	Taylor et al.		August 24, 2000	
10/483,430	Akio	7,304,156	WO 03/006439	Granted
January 12, 2004	Matsushita et	December 4, 2007	January 23, 2003	
	al.	,		

U.S. Serial No.	Inventor	U.S. Patent No.	PCT Pub. No.	Current Status
Filing Date		Issue Date	PCT Pub.Date	A.
11/933,626 November 1, 2007	Akio Matsushita et al.	US 20080058520 March 6, 2008	WO 03/006439 January 23, 2003	Assigned to Examiner Venkataraman Balasubramanian in GAU 1624; Response to Non- Final Office Action Filed 03-15-2010
10/275,092	Andrew J.	7,157,255	WO 01/85975	Granted
November 1, 2002	Blacker et al.	January 2, 2007	November 15, 2001	
11/412,047	Andrew J.	7,416,865	WO 01/85975	Granted
April 27, 2006	Blacker et al.	August 26, 2008	November 15, 2001	
12/178,424	Andrew J.	US 20080280336	WO 01/85975	Assigned to Examiner
July 23, 2008	Blacker et al.	November 13, 2008	November 15, 2001	Herbert J. Lilling in GAU 1657; Notice of Allowanc Mailed 01-27-2010
10/524,235	John Horbury	7,511,140	WO 04/014872	Granted
August 18, 2005	et al.	March 31, 2009	February 19, 2004	
12/371,359	John Horbury	US 20090286819	WO 04/014872	Assigned to Examiner
February 13, 2009	et al.	November 19, 2009	February 19, 2004	Venkataraman Balasubramanian in GAU 1624; Non Final Action Mailed 01-15-2010
10/558,390	Jeffrey N.	US 20080221323	WO 04/108691	Assigned to Examiner
February 29, 2008	Crabb et al.	September 11, 2008	December 16, 2004	Venkataraman Balasubramanian in GAU 1624; Ready for Examination
10/571,254	Rebecca J.	US 20060293355	WO 05/023779	Abandoned
March 9, 2006	Booth et al.	December 28, 2006	March 17, 2005	
12/615,935	Rebecca J.		WO 05/023779	Application Undergoing
November 10, 2009			March 17, 2005	Preexam Processing
10/572,635	Simon N.	US 20070105882	WO 05/028450	Assigned to Examiner
March 17, 2006	Black et al.	May 10, 2007	March 31, 2005	Venkataraman
				Balasubramanian in GAU 1624; Non Final Action Mailed 11-06-2009
10/333,351	Jacob H.	6,870,059	WO 02/06266	Granted
January 17, 2003	Kooistra et al.	March 22, 2005	January 24, 2002	
11/053,090	Jacob H.	7,642,363	WO 02/06266	Granted
February 7, 2005	Kooistra et al.	January 5, 2010	January 24, 2002	
12/625,156	Jacob H.		WO 02/06266	Application Undergoing
November 24, 2009	Kooistra et al.	1	January 24, 2002	Preexam Processing

U.S. Serial No. Filing Date	Inventor	U.S. Patent No. Issue Date	PCT Pub. No. PCT Pub.Date	Current Status
10/537,723	Lee Newton et	7,524,955	WO 04/054986	Granted
June 7, 2005	al.	April 28, 2009	July 1, 2004	
12/404,575	Lee Newton et	US 20090264654	WO 04/054986	Assigned to Examiner Erich
March 16, 2009	al.	October 22, 2009	July 1, 2004	A. Leeser in GAU 1624; Ready for Examination
10/518,164	Hermanus C.	7,442,811	WO 03/106447	Granted
July 25, 2005	Bakel Van et al.	October 28, 2008	December 24, 2003	
10/501,250	Robert P. Hof	US 20050090674	WO 03/059901	Assigned to Examiner
December 6, 2004		April 28, 2005	July 24, 2003	Taofiq A. Solola in GAU
		-		1625; Issue Fees Paid 03-
				30-2010
11/994,925	Michael		WO 07/007119	Examiner unassigned;
January 7, 2008	Butters et al.		January 18, 2007	Preliminary Amendment
				filed April 16, 2010
11/793,418	Michael	US 20080207903	WO 2006/067456	Assigned to Examiner
June 20, 2007	Butters et al.	August 28, 2008	June 29, 2006	Venkataraman
				Balasubramanian in GAU
				1624; Response to
				Restriction Requirement
				Filed 02-16-2010
11/948,615	Steven Robert	US 20080188657		Assigned to Examiner
November 30, 2007	Lenger	August 7, 2008		Venkataraman
				Balasubramanian in GAU
				1624; Ready for
				Examination

3. Restriction Requirement

In response to the restriction requirement, Applicants elect <u>with traverse</u> the invention of <u>Group I</u> as defined by the Examiner – *i.e.*, a process for the manufacture of the calcium salt of (E)-7-[4-(4-fluorophenyl)-6-isopropyl-2-[methyl(methylsulfonyl)amino]pyrimidin-5-yl](3R,5S)-3,5-dihydroxyhept-6-enoic acid. Claims 1-5 encompass this invention.

The restriction is traversed as it pertains to Groups I, II and III. Claim 1 recites a process involving the use of intermediate crystalline compounds of formulae (7) and (8), while claims 6-9 recite a crystalline compound of formula (7) and claims 10-11 recite a crystalline compound of formula (8). Accordingly, Applicants submit that claims 1-5 (Group I), claims 6-9 (Group II) and claims 10-11 (Group III) form a single inventive step. Applicants point out that the Written

Opinion for the corresponding PCT application (PCT GB2004/004481) supports Applicants' request for rejoinder of Groups I-III by <u>not</u> finding a lack of unity for substantially similar claims

The Examiner indicates that restriction is proper when the inventions are distinct such that they require a different field of search and/or the prior art applicable to one invention would not be applicable to another invention. Applicants point out that in this case, the compounds of formulae (7) and (8) are specifically depicted intermediates in the recited process of claim 1. Therefore, Applicants presume that in assessing the patentability of claim 1, the Examiner would necessarily consider prior art that relates to the compounds of formulae (7) and (8). Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner rejoin Groups II (claims 6-9) and III (claims 10-11) with Group I (claims 1-5).

Except for issues payable under 37 C.F.R. 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required, including any required extension of time fees, or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 50-0310. This paragraph is intended to be a constructive petition for extension of time in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a)(3).

Dated: April 26, 2010 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Customer No. 09629 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Tel: 202-739-3000 Fax: 202-739-3001 Respectfully submitted, Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

/Gregory T. Lowen/ Gregory T. Lowen Registration No. 46,882 Direct: 202-739-5915